



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/643,584	08/22/2000	Eric Schneider		9982

24226 7590 10/26/2004  
ERIC SCHNEIDER  
13944 CEDAR ROAD  
# 258  
UNIVERSITY HEIGHTS, OH 44118

EXAMINER

TRAN, PHILIP B

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2155

DATE MAILED: 10/26/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Interview Summary

Application No.

09/643,584

Applicant(s)

SCHNEIDER ET AL.

Examiner

Philip B Tran

Art Unit

2155

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Philip B Tran - Patent Examiner.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Eric Schneider - Prose Applicant.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 21 October 2004.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 21 and 36.

Identification of prior art discussed: Smith et al (U.S. Pat. No. 6,578,078) and Ong (U.S. Pat. Application Pub. No. US 2002/0156800 A2).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed about Ong and Smith references. Examiner disagreed with prose applicant about the view on the teachings of Smith and Ong. Examiner maintained that combination of Smith and Ong still read on the vague language of the independent claims 21 and 36. Applicant will amend claims to make it distinguished from cited prior arts and examiner will examine it accordingly.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Philip B Tran  
Examiner's signature, if required